

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,359	03/01/2002	Toshihiro Yoshida	791_188	3319
25191	7590 11/01/2004		EXAMINER	
BURR & B PO BOX 70		YUAN, DAH WEI D		
	NY 13261-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			\mathcal{L}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comme	10/087,359	YOSHIDA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•
	Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repolly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI e. cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	August 2004		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed		rs prosecution as to the merits i	e
closed in accordance with the practice under			3
Disposition of Claims		,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20,21,23-46 and 54</u> is/are pending in	the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20,21 and 29-46</u> is/a	• •	ation	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	are williarawii iroiti collaidell	XIIO11.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-28 and 54</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2002</u> is/are:	•	<u>-</u>	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document		-U. a. A.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea 		ceived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ceived.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)	
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/l	Mail Date	
I) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	

Application/Control Number: 10/087,359

Art Unit: 1745

ELECTRODE BODY EVALUATION METHOD AND LITHIUM SECONDARY CELL USING THE SAME

Examiner: Yuan

S.N. 10/087,359

Art Unit: 1745

October 22, 2004

Detailed Action

- 1. The Applicant's amendment filed on August 20, 2004 was received. The abstract of the invention was changed and specification was amended. Claims 1-19,22,47-53 were cancelled. Claims 23-28 were amended. Claim 54 was added.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action issued April 22, 2004.

Specification

3. The amendment filed August 20, 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: a ratio of limit discharging current to said cell capacity is at least 30.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Art Unit: 1745

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claim 54 and dependent claims 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The recitation "a ratio of limit discharging current to said cell capacity is at least 30" is not disclosed in the instant specification. If applicant believes said terms are fully defined, it is requested that applicant indicates column and line, and/or figure with number, identifying the support of the limitation.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 54 and dependent claims 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. The terms "ring-shaped" and "chain-shaped" in claim 54 are relative terms which render the claims indefinite. For the interest of compact prosecution, claim 54 is examined as reciting "...a composition having at least one ring carbonate and at least two chain carbonates...".

Art Unit: 1745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

Page 3 of 5

- The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 9. 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Higuchi et al. on claims 22-25,27,28 are withdrawn, because the independent claim 22 has been cancelled.
- 10. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ondeck et al. on claims 22-25,27,28 are withdrawn, because the independent claim 22 has been cancelled.
- The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 11. 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hommura et al. on claims 22-24,26,28 are withdrawn, because the independent claim 22 has been cancelled.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed on August 20, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principle arguments are

None of the references discloses that the capacity of the lithium secondary cell is at least 2 Ah and a ratio of limit discharging current to the cell capacity is at least 30.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,359

Art Unit: 1745

Page 4 of 5

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

The instant disclosure only teaches the limit discharge current is ranging from 250-1000A. See Tables 1-3. However, there is no support to substantiate the recited ratio between the limit discharging current and the cell capacity. The Examiner reserves the rights to reinstate the previous claim rejections if the new matter is canceled.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Delve /_

Dah-Wei D. Yuan October 22, 2004